$\begin{array}{c} PS/Ec~172,~Set~3\\ Due~Friday,~April~28^{\text{th}}~at~11{:}59\text{pm}\\ Resubmission~due~Friday,~May~12^{\text{th}}~at~11{:}59\text{pm} \end{array}$

Collaboration on homework is encouraged, but individually written solutions are required. Also, please name all collaborators and sources of information on each assignment; any such named source may be used.

- (1) The surprise quiz. A teacher and a student play the following game. The teacher gives a surprise quiz on one of the five days of the work week. The student, who does not know the material, will fail if she does not review the material right before the quiz, but only has time to study on one day. Thus each player's set of strategies is the set of five days of the work week. The student's utility is one if she and the teacher chose the same day, and zero otherwise. The teacher's utility is one minus the student's.
 - (a) 25 points. Show that this game does not have a pure Nash equilibrium.
 - (b) 25 points. Find a mixed Nash equilibrium for this game.
 - (c) *Bonus question (1 point).* Show that if there are infinitely many days then there does not exist a mixed Nash equilibrium. Why does this not violate Nash's Theorem?
- (2) Let G = (N,S_i, u_i) be a finite normal form game. Suppose that the set of players is N = {1,...,n}, that S_i = {a,b} for all i ∈ N, and that there is a function f: {a,b}² → ℝ such that for each player i ∈ {1,...,n − 1} it holds that u_i(s₁,...,s_n) = f(s_i,s_{i+1}), and u_n(s₁,...,s_n) = f(s_n,s₁). That is, the players are positioned in a circle, and the utility of each player is a function (in fact, the same function) of his strategy and the strategy of his neighbor on the right.
 - (a) 50 points. Using only the Intermediate Value Theorem (i.e., without using Nash's Theorem or Brouwer's Theorem), prove that this game has a mixed (or pure) Nash equilibrium.
- (3) Bonus question. A prisoner escapes to \mathbb{Z}^2 on Sunday. Every day he must move either one up (i.e., add (0,1) to his location) or one to the right (add (1,0)), except on Saturdays, when he must rest. The detective can, once a day, check one element of \mathbb{Z}^2 and see if the prisoner is there. If she finds him then she wins. He wins if she never finds him.

Formally, the prisoner's strategy is an element

$$(z, f) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \{(1, 0), (0, 1), (0, 0)\}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

such that f(n) = (0,0) whenever $n \equiv 0 \mod 7$, and $f(n) \in \{(1,0), (0,1)\}$ otherwise. The detective's strategy is a sequence $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $z_n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Omer Tamuz. Email: tamuz@caltech.edu.

The prisoner's current location when using strategy (z, f) is

$$\ell_n = z + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f(k).$$

The detective wins if $\ell_n = z_n$ for some *n*. The prisoner wins otherwise. (a) *1 point*. Show that the detective has a winning strategy.

(b) *1 point*. Show that if we remove the requirement that the prisoner rests on Saturdays then the detective does not have a winning strategy.